

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,870	07/17/2003	Yong-Soo Kim	51876P358	1746
8791 7:	590 01/14/2005		EXAM	INER
	OKOLOFF TAYLO	KENNEDY, JENNIFER M		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90025-1030		2812	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/621,870	KIM, YONG-SOO			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812			
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	ng date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension or the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☑ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
F 085 341	2				



## STUDIES IN MATHEMATICS VOLUME VII

## Intuitive Geometry

(preliminary edition)

Prepared under the supervision of an Editorial Committee consisting of:

R. D. Anderson, Louisiana State University

John A. Brown, University of Delaware

Mildred Cole, East Aurora lunior High School, Aurora, Illinois

B. H. Colvin, Boeing Scientific Research Laboratories

H. M. Gehman, University of Buffalo,

B. W. Jones, University of Colorado

H. T. Karnes, Louisiana State University

Nick Lovdjieff, Anthony Junior High School, Minneapolis! Minnesota

J. L. Marks, San Jose State Collège

J. R. Mayor, American Association for the Advancement of Science

Muriel Mills, Hill Junior High School, Denver, Colorado

Veryl Schult, Washington Public Schools, Washington, D.C.

J. R. Smart, San Jose State College

Rothwell Stephens, Knox College

G. C. Webber, University of Delaware

A. B. Willcox, Amberst College





